

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejection indicates that Claims 1-24 are allowed. The Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing Claims 1-24.

The Applicant has respectfully added new Claims 27-29 without introducing new matter. Dependent Claims 27-29 are patentable by virtue of their dependency and their allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Applicant has respectfully amended Claims 1, 2-7, 19-20, 22 and 23 without introducing new matter. Moreover, the Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-24 in light of the new amendment are still allowable because they recite a display with multi-sided viewing functionality, wherein when the front cover is moved from a closed position to an open position, information displayed on a first viewing side of the first display is transferred to a second viewing side of the first display, as claimed. The Applicant respectfully submits that the transferring of the same information from a first viewing side to a second viewing side are not taught by Narayanaswamy, or Kim or Moscovich, or any combination thereof. As such, allowance of Claims 1-24 is earnestly solicited.

Claim rejections 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 25 is rejected, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant has respectfully amended Claim 25 and respectfully asserts that the newly amended Claim 25 particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, which the Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 recites that the first and said second side are operable to display a first set of information, as claimed. Moreover, Claim 25 recites that opening the flexible cover deactivates the first side and activates the second side of the flexible cover, as claimed. Accordingly, Claim 25 particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

For the above reasons, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection, under 35 U.S.C. §112.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above listed remarks, reconsideration of the rejected claims is requested. Based on the arguments presented above, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 25 overcome the rejection of record and, therefore, allowance of Claims 1-25 and 27-29 is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 23-0085.

Dated: Dec 22, 2006

Respectfully submitted,
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP


Amir A. Tabarrok
Registration No. 57,137

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113

(408) 938-9060 Voice
(408) 938-9069 Facsimile